Solutions Exam - Statistics 2019/2020

SOLUTION 1: Define
$$\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$
 and $\overline{X^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$.

Then set (I): $\bar{X} = E[X] = \alpha/\beta$ and (II): $Var(X) = \overline{X^2} - \bar{X}^2 = \alpha/\beta^2$.

Solve this system for α and β :

Plugging (I) $\alpha = \bar{X}\beta$ into (II) yields: $\bar{X}^2 - \bar{X}^2 = (\bar{X}\beta)/\beta^2 = \bar{X}/\beta$, and so: $\beta = \bar{X}/(\bar{X}^2 - \bar{X}^2)$. Plugging this into (I) gives: $\alpha = \bar{X}^2/(\bar{X}^2 - \bar{X}^2)$. Thus:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MOM} = \bar{X}^2 / (\overline{X^2} - \bar{X}^2) \qquad \hat{\beta}_{MOM} = \bar{X} / (\overline{X^2} - \bar{X}^2)$$

SOLUTION 2: Note: $f_{\theta,a}(x) = \theta \cdot a^{\theta} \cdot x^{-\theta-1} \cdot I_{\{x \geq a\}}$, where $I_{\{x \geq a\}} = 1$ if $x \geq a$ and $I_{\{x \geq a\}} = 0$ otherwise. Build the likelihood (joint density):

$$L_X(\theta, a) = f_{\theta, a}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta, a}(x_i) = \theta^n \cdot a^{n\theta} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-\theta - 1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\{x_i \ge a\}}$$
$$= \theta^n \cdot a^{n\theta} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-\theta - 1} \cdot I_{\{\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \ge a\}}$$

SOLUTION 2(a): The joint density can be factorized into:

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = I_{\{\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \ge a\}}$$

$$h(t(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta) = \theta^n \cdot a^{n\theta} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-\theta - 1}$$

so that $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient statistic for θ .

SOLUTION 2(b): The joint density can be factorized into:

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta^n \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-\theta - 1}$$
$$h(t(x_1, \dots, x_n), a) = a^{n\theta} \cdot I_{\{\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \ge a\}}$$

so that $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \min\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is sufficient statistic for a.

SOLUTION 2(c): Assume $\min\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\} \geq a$, as otherwise $L_X(\theta,a) = 0$ for all X.

$$l_X(\theta, a) = \log(L_X(\theta, a)) = n\log(\theta) + n\theta\log(a) + (-\theta - 1)\log(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$$

Take the derivative w.r.t. θ and set it to 0: $\frac{d}{d\theta}l_X(\theta,a) = \frac{n}{\theta} + n\log(a) - \log(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) = 0$. Solving for θ yields the (potential) ML estimator: $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \frac{n}{\log(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - n\log(a)}$. This is indeed the MLE (a maximum point), as: $\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}l_X(\theta,a) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} < 0$.

SOLUTION 2(d): The factor $a^{n\theta}$ grows monotonically in a. So a should be as large as possible, subject to $a \leq \min\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. So: $\hat{a}_{ML} = \min\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$.

1

SOLUTION 3(a): $E[\widehat{\sigma^2}] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n\sigma^2 = \sigma^2$ Note that $E[X_i^2] = \sigma^2$, as $E[X_i] = 0$ implies: $E[X_i^2] - 0^2 = Var(X_i) = \sigma^2$.

SOLUTION 3(b): Build the log-likelihood (for X_1 only):

$$l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) = \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{X_1^2}{\sigma^2}\}\right) = \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot X_1^2$$

Take the first and the second deriavtive w.r.t. σ^2 :

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma^2} l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot (-1) \cdot X_1^2
= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot X_1^2
\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2 d\sigma^2} l_{X_1}(\sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot (-2) \cdot X_1^2
= +\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2)^3} \cdot X_1^2$$

The expected Fisher information is:

$$I(\sigma^{2}) = -E\left[\frac{d^{2}}{d\sigma^{2}} l_{X_{1}}(\sigma^{2})\right] = -E\left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^{2})^{2}} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^{2})^{3}} \cdot X_{1}^{2}\right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^{2})^{2}} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^{2})^{3}} \cdot E[X_{1}^{2}] = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sigma^{2})^{2}} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^{2})^{3}} \cdot \sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^{4}}$$

SOLUTION 3(c): Yes, it attains the Rao Cramer bound. The Rao-Cramer bound is equal to: $\frac{1}{n \cdot I(\sigma^2)} = \frac{1}{n \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$

$$Var(\widehat{\sigma^2}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot Var\left(\sigma^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \cdot Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma}\right)^2\right) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \cdot 2n = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

Note that $X_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ implies $\frac{1}{\sigma}X_i \sim N(0, 1)$, so that (see hint): $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$ is χ_n^2 distributed and has variance 2n.

SOLUTION 4: Consider the ratio of the joint densities:

$$\lambda(X_1, \dots, X_9) = \frac{f_{0,1}(X_1, \dots, X_9)}{f_{1,1}(X_1, \dots, X_9)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{9} f_{0,1}(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{9} f_{1,1}(X_i)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{9} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(X_i - 0)^2}{1^2}\}}{\prod_{i=1}^{9} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(X_i - 1)^2}{1^2}\}}$$

$$= \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{9} X_i^2\}}{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{9} (X_i - 1)^2\}} = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{9} X_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{9} (X_i^2 - 2X_i + 1)\}$$

$$= \exp\{4.5 - \sum_{i=1}^{9} X_i\}$$

Under H_0 we have: $\sum_{i=1}^{9} X_i \sim N(0,9)$, so that $\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{9} X_i \sim N(0,1)$.

$$P_{H_0}(\lambda(X_1, \dots, X_9) < k) \Leftrightarrow P_{H_0}(\exp\{4.5 - \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i\} < k)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow P_{H_0}(\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i > 4.5 - \log(k)) \Leftrightarrow P_{H_0}(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i > 1.5 - \log(k)/3)$

So $(1.5 - \log(k)/3)$ must be the 0.9-quantile $q_{0.9} = 1.3$ of the N(0,1) distribution.

$$1.5 - \log(k)/3 = 1.3 \Leftrightarrow k = \exp(0.6) \approx 1.82$$

Hence, the UMP rejects H_0 if: $\lambda(X_1, \ldots, X_9) < 1.82$.

Power of the UMP test: Under H_1 we have: $(\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{9}X_i-3)\sim N(0,1)$.

$$P_{H_1}(\lambda(X_1,\ldots,X_9) < k) \Leftrightarrow P_{H_1}(\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i > 1.3) \Leftrightarrow P_{H_1}(\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i - 3 > -1.7)$$

As -1.7 is smaller than the $q_{0.05} = -q_{0.95} = -1.6$ quantile of the N(0,1), the power of the UMP test is greater than 0.95.

SOLUTION 5(a): Compute the log likelihood:

$$l_X(\theta) = \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n {x_i + r - 1 \choose x_i} \cdot (1 - \theta)^r \cdot \theta^{x_i} \right)$$

$$= \log \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n {x_i + r - 1 \choose x_i} \right) \cdot (1 - \theta)^{nr} \cdot \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \right)$$

$$= \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n {x_i + r - 1 \choose x_i} \right) + nr \log(1 - \theta) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(\theta)$$

Take the derivative w.r.t. θ and set it to 0:

$$\frac{-nr}{1-\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\theta} = 0 \iff -nr\theta + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)(1-\theta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow -(nr + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{nr + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \Leftrightarrow \theta = \frac{\bar{x}}{r + \bar{x}}$$

For the second derivative we have:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l_X(\theta) = \frac{-nr}{(1-\theta)^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} < 0$$

This confirms that $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \bar{X}/(r + \bar{X})$.

SOLUTION 5(b): For n = 1 we have the 2nd derivative of the log likelihood:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l_{X_1}(\theta) = \frac{-r}{(1-\theta)^2} - \frac{X_1}{\theta^2}$$

Compute the Fisher Information:

$$I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l_{X_1}(\theta) \right] = E_{\theta} \left[\frac{r}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{X_1}{\theta^2} \right] = \frac{r}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{E[X_1]}{\theta^2}$$
$$= \frac{r}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{\frac{r\theta}{(1-\theta)}}{\theta^2} = \frac{r}{(1-\theta)^2} + \frac{r\theta}{(1-\theta)\theta^2} = \frac{r\theta + r(1-\theta)}{(1-\theta)^2\theta} = \frac{r}{\theta(1-\theta)^2}$$

SOLUTION 5(c): Asymptotically $\sqrt{I(\theta)}\sqrt{n}\cdot(\hat{\theta}_{ML}-\theta)\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, hence:

$$P(q_{0.025} \le \sqrt{I(\theta)}\sqrt{n} \cdot (\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta) \le q_{0.975}) = 0.95$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \frac{q_{0.975}}{\sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \sqrt{n}} \le \theta \le \hat{\theta}_{ML} - \frac{q_{0.025}}{\sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \sqrt{n}}) = 0.95$$

With $q_{0.975} = 2$ and $q_{0.025} = -2$, and $I(\theta)$ being replaced by $I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})$, we get the CI:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} \pm 2/(\sqrt{I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})} \cdot \sqrt{n})$$

Here we have $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = 0.8$ and $2/(\sqrt{I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})}\sqrt{n}) = 2/(\sqrt{2/(0.8 \cdot 0.2^2)\sqrt{20}} \approx 0.057$.

So the two-sided CI is: [0.743, 0.857].

SOLUTION 5(d): Like part (c), but here we use:

$$P(q_{0.05} \le \sqrt{I(\theta)}\sqrt{n} \cdot (\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta)) = 0.95 \Leftrightarrow P(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \frac{q_{0.05}}{\sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \sqrt{n}} \ge \theta) = 0.95$$

With $q_{0.05} = -1.6$ the one-sided 95% CI for θ is: $(-\infty, \hat{\theta}_{ML} + \frac{1.6}{\sqrt{I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})} \cdot \sqrt{n}}]$

Here we have $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = 0.8$ and $\frac{1.6}{\sqrt{I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})}\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1.6}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{0.80 \text{ s}^2}}\sqrt{20}} \approx 0.045$.

So the one-sided CI is: $(-\infty, 0.845]$.

SOLUTION 5(e): Asymptotically: $\frac{\frac{d}{d\theta}l_X(\theta)}{\sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)}} \sim N(0,1)$ where $\frac{d}{d\theta}l_X(\theta) = \frac{-nr}{1-\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}$.

Given
$$r = 2$$
, $\bar{X} = 8$ and $n = 20$ and $\theta_0 = 0.9$ we get:
$$\frac{-nr}{1-\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\theta} = \frac{-40}{1-0.9} + \frac{20.8}{0.9} \approx -222 \text{ and } \sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)} = \sqrt{20 \cdot \frac{2}{0.9 \cdot 0.1^2}} \approx 66.67$$

Therefore the score test statistic takes the value: $\frac{\frac{d}{d\theta}l_X(\theta)}{\sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)}} = \frac{-222}{66.67} \approx -3.33$. As the value is lower than the $q_{0.01}$ quantile -2.3 of the N(0,1), the score test would reject the null hypothesis to the level 0.02.